

COURT NO. 1
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

OA 2129/2019

Ex Hav Gadade Sunil Vithoba Applicant
Versus
Union of India & Ors. Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. OS Punia, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. Satya Ranjan Swain, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG, MEMBER (A)

ORDER

Invoking the jurisdiction of this Tribunal under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, the applicant filed this OA praying to direct the respondents to accept the disabilities of the applicant as attributable to/aggravated by military service and grant disability pension @20% rounded off to 50% with effect from the date of retirement of the applicant; along with all consequential benefits.

2. The applicant was enrolled in the Indian Army on 22.04.1993 and retired on 30.04.2019. The Release Medical Board dated 25.01.2019 held that the applicant was fit to be discharged from service in low medical category S1H1A1P2(P)E1 for the disability – (a) DIABETES MELLITUS

OA 2129/2019
Ex Hav Gadade Sunil Vithoba

Type II @ 20% while the qualifying element for disability pension was recorded as NIL for life on account of disabilities being treated as neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service (NANA).

3. The claim of the applicant for grant of disability pension was adjudicated by the OIC Records, Army Ordnance Corps stating that the aforesaid disability was considered as neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service. Subsequently, the first appeal preferred by the applicant on 16.05.2019 was processed with Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence (Army) (PS-4) by Army Ordnance Corps Records vide letter No C/6934756/DP-III/390/1st Appeal dated 19.06.2019. Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence (Army) PS/AG's Branch vide letter No B/40502/716/2019/AG/PS-4(Imp-II) dated 28.08.2019 decided that the disability is conceded as neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service in terms of Para 26, Chap VI of GMO 2002, amendment 2008 and ER 2008. . The facts were intimated to the Applicant vide AOC Records letter No C/6934756/DP-III/3901/1st Appeal dated 17.09.2019 with an advice that if he was not satisfied with the decision, he may prefer Second Appeal to Second Appellate Committee

OA 2129/2019
Ex Hav Gadade Sunil Vithoba

on Pension (SACP) within six months from receipt of this letter but the applicant has not submitted second appeal till date.

4. Placing reliance on the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Dharamvir Singh v. UOI & Ors [2013 (7) SCC 36]*, Ld. Counsel for applicant argued that no note of any disability was recorded in the service documents of the applicant at the time of the entry into the service, and that he served in the Army at various places in different environmental and service conditions in his prolonged service, thereby, any disability at the time of his service is deemed to be attributable to or aggravated by military service.

5. Per contra, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents submits that under the provisions of Regulation 81 of the Pension Regulations for the Army, 2008 (Part-I), the primary condition for the grant of disability pension is invalidation out of service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by military service and is assessed @ 20% or more.

6. Relying on the aforesaid provision, Ld. Counsel for respondents further submits that the aforesaid disability of

the applicant was assessed as "neither attributable to nor aggravated" by military service and not connected with the military service and as such, his claim was rejected; thus, the applicant is not entitled for grant of disability pension due to policy constraints.

7. On the careful perusal of the materials available on record and also the submissions made on behalf of the parties, we are of the opinion that it is not in dispute that the extent of disability was assessed to be above 20% which is the bare minimum for grant of disability pension in terms of Regulation 81 and 37 of the Pension Regulations for the Army, 2008 (Part-I). The only question that arises in the above backdrop is whether disability suffered by the applicant was attributable to or aggravated by military service.

8. The issue of attributability of disease is no longer res integra in view of the verdict of the Hon'ble Apex Court in *Dharamvir Singh v. Union of India (supra)*, wherein it is clearly spelt out that any disease contracted during service is presumed to be attributable to military service, if there is no record of any ailment at the time of commission into the Military Service.

9. Furthermore, the issue regarding the attributability of Diabetes Mellitus has been settled by the *Hon'ble Supreme Court in Commander Rakesh Pande v. Union of India (Civil Appeal No. 5970 of 2019)* wherein the Apex Court has not only held that the Diabetes Mellitus is a disease which is of permanent nature and will entitle the applicant to disability pension, but also observed that in case where the disability is of permanent nature, the disability assessed by the Medical Board shall be treated for life and cannot be restricted for specific period.

10. Regarding broadbanding benefits, we find that the *Hon'ble Supreme Court in its order dated 10.12.2014 in Union of India v. Ram Avtar, Civil Appeal No. 418 of 2012* and connected cases, has observed that individuals similarly placed as the applicant are entitled to rounding off the disability element of pension. We also find that the Government of India vide its Letter No. F.No.3(11)2010-D (Pen/Legal) Pt V, Ministry of Defence dated 18th April 2016 has issued instructions for implementation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 10.12.2014 (supra).

11. Applying the above parameters to the case at hand, we are of the view that the applicant has been discharged from

Ex Hav Ga

DA 2129/2019

10ba

service in low medical category on account of medical disease/disability, the disability must be presumed to have arisen in the course of service which must, in the absence of any reason recorded by the Medical Board, be presumed to have been attributable to or aggravated by air force service.

12. Therefore, in view of our analysis, the OA is allowed and Respondents are directed to grant benefit of disability pension @ 20% for the disability of Diabetes Mellitus Type II for life rounded off to 50% for life in view of judgement of Hon'ble Apex Court in Union of India versus Ram Avtar (supra) from the date of his discharge i.e. 30.04.2019. The arrears shall be disbursed to the applicant within four months of receipt of this order failing which it shall earn interest @ 6% p.a. till the actual date of payment.

13. No order as to costs.

14. Pronounced in open Court on this the 16 day of May 2024.

[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]
CHAIRPERSON

[REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG]
MEMBER (A)

/jyoti/

OA 2129/2019
Ex Hav Gadade Sunil Vithoba